E-IMD research / publication proposal

To be filled in by the corresponding E-IMD member	
Working title	
Submitted by (name/s):	
Research Laboratory (ies) involved in this project:	
Institution(s) involved in this Project:	
Name of Main Laboratory or Main Institution Director:	
Corresponding E-IMD member (name/s):	

Working title:

Abstract in English (1 page maximum)

- the state of the art on the topic of the project;
- the general interest of the project;
- the scientific objectives
- Principal research question(s):
- Additional research question(s):
- the methodology;
- applications for human pathology and therapy.

For clinical trials, include a synopsis of the protocol.

Applicant's last 5 most significant publications (relevant with the project if applicable)

Responsibilities and time lines	Name(s)	Date
Design of data model		
Data model - specification of single variables required for the analysis - **Use the original forms (A, B, C…) and use the original variable name that is used on these forms.** - **Contact the data manager if you need further advice**		
1) Data pull		
2) Data quality and plausibility check		
3) Statistical analysis		
4) Writing the draft manuscript		
5) Creating figures and tables		
6) Circulation among E-IMD members		
7) Revising the manuscript		
8) Submission to Journal and publication process		
9) Proposed first author (name)		
10) Proposed senior author (name)		

Annexes

Evaluation Form (To be filled in by the scientific board members)

Reviewers' names:

TO FILL THE EVALUATION SECTION, PLEASE FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES AS DESCRIBED BELOW:

1. **Relevance and significance for E-IMD:** How is this research relevant to a better understanding of diseases related to E-IMD, its causes, cure and/or prevention? Does this study address an important problem? Is there a sufficient body of high quality fundamental, preclinical or clinical research evidence that supports the rationale for the proposed study? What is the potential impact of the proposed intervention on diagnostics, treatment, health care or quality of life?
2. **Project quality/ Scientific soundness**: Are the rationale and experimental design adapted to the study objectives? Are the aims clearly laid out? Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, techniques and projected analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate for the aims of the project? Are the preliminary data strong enough to support the aims of the study?
3. **Innovation**: Are the aims of the study original? Does the proposed study design represent advancement in the field?
4. **Feasibility**: Are the requested data in line with the contents of the E-IMD database. Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative strategies?

	Score			
	1	**2**	**3**	**4**
1. Relevance and significance for E-IMD				
2. Project quality/ Scientific soundness				
3. Innovation				
4. Feasibility				
Mean total score:				

1= High; 2=Medium; 3=low; 4= No priority/ Unsuitable

Notes (confidential):

Processing and Decision

	Step	Date	
1	Project initiator's submission of proposal to EB		
2	EB sends proposal for review to SB		Recommendation:
3	SB send reviews to EB		
4	EB decision		Decision:
5	Feedback of decision to project initiator		
6	Information of Members' Board		

No.	E-IMD members contributing data to the project:	E-IMD members **not** contributing data to the project: